

# MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR MLE

Consider a linear model

$$Y = X\beta + e$$

Under assumption:  $e \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ ,  $X$  NONRANDOM

Given that we have a random sample of  $Y$ 's, they are i.i.d.  
and also Normally distributed:  $Y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$

the density of any  $y_t$ :

$$f(y_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_t - x_t\beta)^2\right\}$$

the density of the whole sample:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T) &= f(y_1) \cdot f(y_2) \cdot \dots \cdot f(y_T) = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_1 - x_1\beta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_2 - x_2\beta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right) \cdot \dots \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^T \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^T \exp\left(-\frac{(y_1 - x_1\beta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(y_2 - x_2\beta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cdot \dots \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^T \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^T \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - x_t\beta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

1a

For the linear model, we denote by  $\theta = (\mu', \sigma^2)'$  the vector of parameters

the joint density integrates to one for the true value  $\theta_0$  of the unknown parameter  $\theta$

The likelihood function:

$$L(y; \theta) = l(y_1; \theta) \cdot l(y_2; \theta) \cdots \cdot l(y_T; \theta)$$

The likelihood function's value depends on  $\theta$ , i.e. is a function of  $\theta$ , while  $y$  is considered given.

Let  $\tilde{\theta}(y_1, \dots, y_T)$  denote the parameter vector that maximizes the likelihood function

$$L(y; \tilde{\theta}) \geq L(y; \theta^*)$$

where  $\theta^*$  is some other estimator

intuition:  $\tilde{\theta}$  is the parameter estimate that yields the largest likelihood of the sample at hand

$\tilde{\theta}(y_1, \dots, y_T)$  is a function of random  $y$ 's and is therefore random too.

We call MLE (maximum likelihood estimator) the value  $\tilde{\theta}$  that maximizes the likelihood function  $L$ .

in fact we rather work with  $L^* = \ln L$

$$L^* = \ln L(Y, X; \beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{T}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{T}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)}{\sigma^2}$$

First order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L^*(\cdot)}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}^2} (-X'Y + X'X\tilde{\beta}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L^*(\cdot)}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{T}{2\tilde{\sigma}^2} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\sigma}^4} (Y - X\tilde{\beta})'(Y - X\tilde{\beta}) = 0$$

we have  $K+1$  equations for  $K+1$  unknowns  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}^2$  yielding: MLE (maximum likelihood estimator:)

$$\tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{e}'\hat{e}}{T} = \frac{T-K}{T} \hat{\sigma}^2$$

↑ biased in small samples.

## SMALL SAMPLE PROPERTIES.

The  $\tilde{\beta}$  are Normally distributed

$$\tilde{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1})$$

(ident to OLS, hence unbiased)

$$T \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} = (T-K) \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{T-K} \quad \text{biased in small samples.}$$

We say that the MLE are most efficient ones.

Indeed they satisfy the Cramer-Rao lower bound for variances of estimators. Hence the variance of MLE's is the lowest possible among all estimators.

Cramer-Rao:

Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T$  be a random sample and  $L(y; \theta) = \prod_{t=1}^T l_t$

the likelihood function. DEFINE THE INFORMATION MATRIX to be:

$$I(\theta) = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(y; \theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right]$$

with  $(i, j)$  element

$$I_{ij} = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(y; \theta)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right], \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, K.$$

let  $\hat{\theta}$  be any unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  with variance  $\Sigma$ .

Then the matrix  $\Sigma - [I(\theta)]^{-1}$  is positive semidefinite

$\Rightarrow$  i.e.  $\Sigma - [I(\theta)]^{-1} \geq 0$ , so that:

$$\Sigma \geq [I(\theta)]^{-1}$$

it is impossible for an estimator to have var lower than  $[I(\theta)]^{-1}$ .

There exist estimator with var. equal to  $[I(\theta)]^{-1}$  and

**one of them is MLE.**

derivation:

$$\ln L = -\frac{T}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta} &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (Y'Y - 2Y'X\beta + \beta'X'X\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (X'Y - X'X\beta) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} X'(Y - X\beta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{T}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)$$

$$E\left[\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta}\right] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E\left[\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^2}\right] = 0$$

The Cramer-Rao lower bounds for the variances of  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  are:  $\sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}$  and  $2\sigma^4/T$  respectively.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} X'X \Rightarrow -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} \right] = \frac{X'X}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial^2 \sigma^2} = \frac{T}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) \Rightarrow -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial^2 \sigma^2} \right] = \frac{T}{2\sigma^4}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \beta \partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} X'(Y - X\beta) \Rightarrow -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \beta \partial \sigma^2} \right] = 0$$

therefore the information matrix is:

$$I(\beta, \sigma^2) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{X'X}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{T}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

- 1) MLE of all  $\tilde{\beta}$ 's are uncorrelated with  $\tilde{\sigma}^2$  estimator of variance
- 2)  $\tilde{\sigma}^2$  is BIASED IN SMALL SAMPLE AND WE DON'T CARE THAT IT IS EFFICIENT. IT IS NOT GOOD.

### 2) ASYMPTOTIC SETUP

WE CONSIDER A LARGE SAMPLE AND CARE ABOUT **CONSISTENCY OF ESTIMATORS**

$$\text{plim } \tilde{\beta} = \beta$$

$$\text{plim } \hat{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2$$

(because if  $T$  is large it is irrelevant if we divide  $\hat{e}'\hat{e}$  by  $T$  or  $(T-K)$ )

**WE WILL SEE THAT MLE IS**

**• ASYMPTOTICALLY NORMALLY DISTRIBUTED**

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \xrightarrow{A} N\left(0, \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{I(\theta)}{T} \right]^{-1}\right)$$

**• ASYMPTOTICALLY MOST EFFICIENT:**

indeed the asymptotic analogue of the Cramer-Rao lower bound is:

$$\frac{1}{T} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{I(\theta)}{T} \right]^{-1}$$

**asy variance of MLE satisfies the asy CRAMER RAO LOWER BOUND. IT APPROACHES THIS LIMIT AS  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .**

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{I(\beta, \sigma^2)}{T} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum x_i x_i}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\sum x_i x_i$  is  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum x_i^2}{T}$

Inverse of this matrix is the var of MLE for ANY GIVEN VALUE OF  $T$

The Information matrix can be consistently estimated from:

i)  $I(\tilde{\theta})$

ii)  $-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial^2 \log L(y_{t+1} | \tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}$  =  $\hat{J}$  (Hessian)

iii)  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \log L(y_{t+1} | \tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \log L(y_{t+1} | \tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta'} = \hat{J}$

Outer product of scores.

## MLE estimation of nonlinear models

- Poisson
- exponential
- binomial



$$Y = X\beta + e \quad e \sim (0, \sigma^2 I)$$

X: assumed fixed, constant

• Assumption  $e_k \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  NORMALITY

$$\Rightarrow f(e_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{e_k^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Log-likelihood function

$$L(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \sigma^2) = -\frac{T}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{T}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)$$

maximize L(.) w.r. to unknown

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{dL}{d\beta_1} \\ \frac{dL}{d\beta_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{dL}{d\beta_k} \\ \frac{dL}{d\sigma^2} \end{array} \right]$$

vector of FOC, where  $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \\ \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$   
(scores)

$$\hat{\beta}_{MLE} = \text{OLS} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 \neq \hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum e_k^2$$

2.

matrix of 2nd order derivatives  $\frac{\partial^2 L(\cdot)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}$  :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_3} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \beta_3} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_3} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \beta_3} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_3^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_3 \partial \sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \sigma^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \sigma^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_3 \partial \sigma^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{(\sigma^2)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$(K+1) \times (K+1)$

for  $K$  betas  
 for  $\sigma^2$

ex:  $y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_t + \beta_3 a_t + e_t$  :  $K=3$   
 $+ \sigma^2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_3} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \beta_3} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_3} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \beta_3} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_3^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_3 \partial \sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \sigma^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \sigma^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_3 \partial \sigma^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{(\sigma^2)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

4

## Information matrix

$$I(\theta) = E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right]$$

where  $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$

## INVERSE OF $I(\theta)$

$I(\theta)^{-1}$  is the lower bound  
on the variance of any estimator  
CRAMER-RAO LOWER BOUND

$$I(\theta)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} & \begin{matrix} k \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \end{matrix} & \frac{\sigma^4}{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\leftarrow$   $k+1$   $\rightarrow$

$\uparrow$   
 $k+1$   
 $\downarrow$

Cramer-Rao lower bound on variance of

$$b_{OLS} = \hat{\beta}_{MLE} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y$$

is  $\sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}$

ASYMPTOTICALLY:  $\sigma^2 \cdot \Sigma_{XX}^{-1}$

where  $\Sigma_{XX}$  is  $\text{plim} \frac{X'X}{T}$

WHEN X ARE RANDOM & NOT NORMAL

ex: for  $y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 p_t + \beta_3 a_t + \epsilon_t$

### Information matrix

$$I(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & \sum p_t & \sum a_t & 0 \\ \sum p_t & \sum p_t^2 & \sum p_t a_t & 0 \\ \sum a_t & \sum p_t a_t & \sum a_t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{T}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$I(\theta)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} - & - & - & 0 \\ - & \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} & - & 0 \\ - & - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2\sigma^4}{T} \end{bmatrix}$$