

ECM

Estimation & Tests

$$\Delta X_t = \Pi X_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Pi_i \Delta X_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$E \varepsilon_t = 0$$

$$E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_j) = \Sigma \text{ for } t=j, 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$L(\Sigma, \Pi, \Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_{p-1}) =$$

$$\left(-\frac{T_n}{2}\right) \log 2\pi - \binom{T}{2} \log |\Sigma|$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\Delta X_t - \Pi X_{t-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Pi_i \Delta X_{t-i} \right]^T \Sigma^{-1} \\ \cdot \left[\Delta X_t - \Pi X_{t-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Pi_i \Delta X_{t-i} \right]$$

- to test for lag length p : estimate the unrestricted model $\rightarrow \Sigma_U$ and restricted model $\rightarrow \Sigma_R$, calculate

$$(T-c) (\log |\Sigma_R| - \log |\Sigma_U|)$$

- under H_0 it is χ^2 distributed with deg of freedom = # of restrictions
- $c = \max$ # of regressors in the largest equation.
- do not use joint F tests for coefficients in Π and the Π_i 's

Eugle - Granger Approach

15

consider y_t, z_t

step 1: pretest each variable for the order of integration
 (same # of unit roots is required)

step 2: estimate the long-run relationship

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 z_t + \epsilon_t$$

Keep the residual $\hat{\epsilon}_t$

$\hat{\epsilon}_t$: estimated deviation from equilibrium at t

If $\hat{\epsilon}_t$ is stationary then y_t, z_t are cointegrated.

run Dickey-Fuller test of α_1 in the regression

$$\Delta \hat{\epsilon}_t = \alpha_1 \hat{\epsilon}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

no need for intercept as $\sum \hat{\epsilon}_t = 0$

- If accept $H_0: \alpha_1 = 0 \Rightarrow$ conclude residuals have a unit root, no cointegration
- If reject $H_0: \alpha_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\epsilon}_t$ stationary

\Rightarrow COINTEGRATION $C(1,1)$ between $y \& z$

USE TABLE "C" Residual Based Cointeg. Test"

Step 3 estimate ECM:

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 [Y_{t-1} - \hat{\beta}_1 Z_{t-1}] + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{1i}(i) \Delta Y_{t-i} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{12}(i) \Delta Z_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{Yt}$$

$$\Delta Z_t = \alpha_2 + \alpha_2 [Y_{t-1} - \hat{\beta}_1 Z_{t-1}] + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{2i}(i) \Delta Y_{t-i} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{22}(i) \Delta Z_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{Zt}$$

is a VAR in 1st differences.

- OLS can be applied equation by equation
(since each equation contains the same regressors)
- all terms are stationary \Rightarrow
t tests for α_y, α_z
F tests for α_{jk}
are valid.

step 4 check if $\hat{\epsilon}_y$, $\hat{\epsilon}_z$ are White Noise

(7)

- if there remains serial correlation
increase the # of lags

eventually you end up having a Near-VAR
then use GLS by the SUR argument (MLE)

- speed of adjustment coefficients

- if $\alpha_2 = 0$ and if all $\alpha_{21}(i) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \Delta y_t$ does not Granger cause Δz_t

- If one of the adjustment parameters = 0,
say $\alpha_y = 0$ then y doesn't respond to
deviation from LT equilibrium and z_t
does all the job: y_t is said to be
weakly exogenous

Granger Causality: y_t does not Granger cause
 z_t if lagged Δy_{t-i} do not enter the Δz_t equation
and z_t does not respond to deviations from equilib.
(ie weakly exogenous)

- can use impulse response, variance decomposit.

- Warning: results depend on the specification

of the (F)equilibrium multistep procedure (unpublished)